arXiv:1702.07691v1 [math.DS] 24 Feb 2017

AN ALMOST SURE INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR SEVERAL CLASSES OF RANDOM DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

JASON ATNIP

Abstract. In this paper we deal with a large class of dynamical systems having a version of the spectral gap property. Our primary class of systems comes from random dynamics, but we also deal with the deterministic case. We show that if a random dynamical system has the spectral gap property, then, developing on Gouëzel's approach, then the system satisfies the almost sure invariance principle. The result is then applied to random systems of transcendental functions, uniformly expanding random systems, and random shifts of finite type with weakly positive transfer operators.

1. Introduction

The almost sure invariance principle (ASIP) is a powerful statistical property which assures that the trajectories of a process can be approximated in an almost sure manner with the trajectories of a Brownian motion with a negligible error term relative to the length of the trajectories. In particular, the ASIP implies many limit theorems including the law of the iterated logarithm and various versions of the central limit theorem. For more consequences of the ASIP, see [13] and the references therein.

The ASIP was first shown for scalar-valued independent and identically distributed random variables by Strassen in [14, 15] and then for $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued observables by Melbourne and Nicol in [12]. In [5], Gouëzel uses spectral properties to show a vector valued ASIP for a wide class of dynamical systems which satisfy the so called "spectral gap" property. Concerning random dynamical systems, the ASIP has been shown for random expanding dynamical systems by Aimino, Nicol, and Vaienti in [1],considering only stationary measures, as well as in the recent paper [4] of Dragičević, Froyland, González-Tokman, and Vaienti for random Lasota-Yorke maps.

In this paper we go beyond stationary measures, building upon Gouëzel's approach, to present a vector valued ASIP for a large class of random dynamical systems with non-stationary fiberwise random measures for which only the central limit theorem was previously known. We show that if a random dynamical system has transfer operators which satisfy the spectral gap property, then the system satisfies an ASIP. In the sequel we will show that the uniformly expanding random systems of [10], the random transcendental systems of [8], and shifts of finite type with weakly positive transfer operators as in [9] are examples of such well behaved systems for which our theory applies.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Almost Sure Invariance Principle.** We will consider an $\mathbb{R}^d$ valued stationary stochastic process $(A_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which is bounded in L^p for some $p > 2$.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $0 < \lambda \leq 1/2$ and Σ^2 is a symmetric, semi-positive-definite $d \times d$ matrix. We say that the sequence $(A_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies an almost sure invariance principle with error exponent λ and limiting covariance Σ^2 if there exists a probability space Ω and stochastic process $(A_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and standard Brownian motion $\{B_t : t \geq 0\}$ on Ω such that the following hold:

(1) The processes $(A_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(A_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ have the same distribution.

(2) Almost surely in Ω we have that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B_j \right| \leq o(n^{\lambda})$$

when $n \to \infty$.

2.2. **Random Dynamical Systems.**

Suppose $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$ is a complete probability space and that $\theta : X \to X$ is an invertible map, often referred to as *the base map*. We assume that θ preserves the measure m, i.e.

$$m \circ \theta^{-1} = m,$$

and that θ is ergodic with respect to m. Let Y be a metrizable space. For each $x \in X$ we associate the metrizable space $\mathcal{J}_x \subseteq Y$ with x, and let

$$\mathcal{J} := \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times \mathcal{J}_x \subseteq X \times Y.$$

For ease of exposition we will identify $\mathcal{J}_x$ with $\{x\} \times \mathcal{J}_x$.

Further suppose that for each x there is a continuous map $T_x : \mathcal{J}_x \to \mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)}$, and define the associated skew product $T : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$ by

$$T(x, z) = (\theta(x), T_x(z)).$$

For each $n \geq 0$ we denote

$$T_x^n := T_{\theta^{n-1}(x)} \circ \cdots \circ T_x : \mathcal{J}_x \to \mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$T^n(x, z) = (\theta^n(x), T_x^n(z)).$$

Given a continuous function $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}$, for each $x \in X$ we let

$$g_x := g|_{\{x\} \times \mathcal{J}_x} : \mathcal{J}_x \to \mathbb{R}.$$

For a more thorough treatment of random dynamics see, for example, [2] or [6].

2.3. **Random Measures.**
Now for the spaces X, Y, and $\mathcal{J}$ as defined above, we let $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathscr{B}$ be the product σ-algebra on $X \times Y$, where $\mathscr{B}$ is the Borel σ-algebra of Y. We let

$$\mathcal{F}\otimes|_{\mathcal{J}}\mathscr{B}$$

denote the restriction of the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathscr{B}$ to $\mathcal{J}$. Then $\mathcal{F}\otimes|_{\mathcal{J}}\mathscr{B}$ consists of sets of the form $V \cap \mathcal{J}$ for $V \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathscr{B}$.

A finite measure μ on $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{F}\otimes|_{\mathcal{J}}\mathscr{B})$ with marginal m, that is

$$\mu \circ \pi_X^{-1} = m,$$

is said to be a *random measure* if its disintegrations μ_x belong to the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{J}_x)$ of probability measures on $(\mathcal{J}_x, \mathscr{B}_x)$ for each $x \in X$. By $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{J})$ we denote the space of all random measures on $\mathcal{J}$ with marginal m. By definition we then have that for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{J})$ and suitable $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mu(g) = \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu = \int_X \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} g_x d\mu_x dm(x).$$

For such a function g, we denote the expected value of g with respect to the measure μ by

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(g) = \mu(g).$$

For a more detailed discussion of random measures see Crauel's book [3].

Following in Gouëzel's notation, for the purposes of simplification, if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we let e^{iab} denote $e^{i\langle a,b\rangle}$, where $\langle a, b\rangle$ is the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Throughout our paper, C will denote some positive constant, which may change from line to line.

3. Random Gouëzel Theorem

In this section we provide an alternate version of Theorem 2.1 of [5] for random dynamical systems. The same proof given by Gouëzel will work in our case with only a few minor adjustments.

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $(X, \mathcal{F}, m, \theta)$ and $T : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$ form a random dynamical system as defined above and suppose that μ is a T-invariant measure on $\mathcal{J}$. Let $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded in $L^p(\mu)$ for some $p > 2$, which means precisely that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{J}} \|g\|^p \, d\mu < \infty.$$

Further assume that for each $x \in X$ there are fiberwise Banach spaces $(\mathcal{G}_x, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_x})$ and $(\mathcal{B}_x, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_x})$, and there is a family of operators $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{r,x} : \mathcal{G}_x \to \mathcal{G}_{\theta(x)} : r \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \in X\right\}$ such that the following hold:

(1) *For each $x \in X$ there exists $\rho_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$ and $\nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{J}_x)$, with*

$$\mu_x = \rho_x \nu_x,$$

such that for any $r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r_j|$ sufficiently small,

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu \left(e^{i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g \circ T^j} \right) = \int_X \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1}, \theta^{-1}(x)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0, \theta^{-n}(x)} (\rho_{\theta^{-n}(x)}) d\nu_x dm(x),$$

(2) *For each $x \in X$ and all $u_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$ we have $\mathcal{B}_x \subseteq \mathcal{G}_x \subseteq L^1(\nu_x)$ with*

$$\|u_x\|_{L^1(\nu_x)} \leq \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{G}_x} \leq \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x},$$

such that

$$\int_X \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{G}_x} dm(x) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} dm(x) < \infty.$$

(3) *There exists $C \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r| < \varepsilon_0$, m-a.e. $x \in X$, all $u_x \in \mathcal{G}_x$, and all $v_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$*

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}^n_{r,x} u_x \right\|_{\mathcal{G}_x} \leq C \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{G}_x}, \qquad \left\| \mathcal{L}^n_{r,x} v_x \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} \leq C \|v_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x},$$

(4) *For each $x \in X$ there is an operator $Q_x : \mathcal{G}_x \to \mathcal{G}_{\theta(x)}$ defined by*

$$Q_x u_x := \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta(x)},$$

and there are $C > 0$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$ such that for m-a.e. $x \in X$ and $u_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x} u_x - Q^n_x u_x \right\|_{\mathcal{G}_x} \leq C \kappa^n \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x}.$$

Then there exists a $d \times d$ matrix Σ^2 such that the stochastic process $\left\{ g \circ T^n - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, considered with respect to the measure μ, satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent larger that $p/(4p-4)$. Moreover, the sequence

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ T^n - n \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges in probability to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$.

Remark 3.2. Note that, using induction, we have that the operator

$$Q^n_x : \mathcal{G}_x \to \mathcal{G}_{\theta^n(x)}$$

is defined by

$$Q^n_x u_x := \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta^n(x)}.$$

We also note that the requirement that

$$\int_X \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{G}_x} dm(x) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X \|u_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} dm(x) < \infty$$

could be changed depending on the context. In the case of the random shift maps in section six, we require instead that the essential supremum over X is bounded rather than the integral.

Remark 3.3. We also note that in [5], Gouëzel refers to hypothesis (1) above as "*the characteristic function of the process $g \circ T^n$ being encoded by the family of operators $\{\mathcal{L}_r\}$*".

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we will adapt the method of the proof of the following theorem of Gouëzel:

Theorem 3.4 (Theorem 2.1, [5])**.** *Let (A_ℓ) be a stochastic process whose characteristic function is encoded by a family of operators $\mathcal{L}_r : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ (see Remark 3.3) and which is bounded in L^p for some $p > 2$. Further assume*

(I0) There exists $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{B}^*$, the dual of $\mathcal{B}$, such that for any $r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r_j| \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\mathcal{E}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j A_j}) = \langle \xi_0, \mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1}} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0} u_0 \rangle.$$

(I1) – One can write $\mathcal{L}_0 = Q + S$, where Q is a one-dimensional projection and S is an operator on $\mathcal{B}$ with $SQ = QS = 0$ and $\|\mathcal{L}_0^n - Q\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \leq C\kappa^n$ for some $\kappa < 1$.

(I2) – There exists $C > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{L}_r^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all small enough $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a matrix Σ^2 such that $(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j - na)/\sqrt{n}$ converges to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$. Moreover the process $(A_j - a)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent larger that $p/(4p-4)$.

Remark 3.5. We remark that the theorem of Gouëzel is the special case our Theorem 3.1 when the base space X is a singleton and the Banach space $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}$.

We also note that we assume in the first half of (4) in the hypotheses of our Theorem 3.1 what Gouëzel proves in his first step. In practice, we will always have such an operator, so our assumption is justified.

However, in order to prove Theorem 3.1, we must invoke Gouëzel's Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.7 of [5]. First we state the main assumption of [5], which ensures that a given stochastic process is sufficiently close to an independent process for our purposes. We will refer to this assumption as condition (H).

(H) There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and constants $C, c > 0$ such that for any $n, m > 0, b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_{n+m+1}, k > 0$ and $r_1, \dots, r_{n+m} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r_j| \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have

$$\left| \mathcal{E}\left(e^{i\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j (\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}-1} A_\ell) + i\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} t_j (\sum_{\ell=b_j+k}^{b_{j+1}+k-1} A_\ell)} \right) \right.$$
$$\left. - \mathcal{E}\left(e^{i\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j (\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}-1} A_\ell)} \right) \cdot \mathcal{E}\left(e^{i\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} t_j (\sum_{\ell=b_j+k}^{b_{j+1}+k-1} A_\ell)} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq C\left(1+\max|b_{j+1}-b_j|\right)^{C(n+m)}e^{-ck}.$$

Theorem 3.6 (Theorem 1.3, [5]). *Let $(A_0, A_1, \dots)$ be a centered $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process, bounded in L^p for some $p > 2$, satisfying condition (H). Assume, moreover, that $\sum |A_\ell| < \infty$ and that there exists a matrix Σ^2 such that, for any $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\left|\operatorname{cov}\left(\sum_{\ell=m}^{n+m-1} A_\ell\right) - n\Sigma^2\right| \leq Cn^\alpha,$$

uniformly in n, m. The sequence $\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} A_\ell/\sqrt{n}$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma^2)$. Moreover, the process $(A_0, A_1, \dots)$ satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent $\lambda > p/(4p-4)$.

Lemma 3.7 (Lemma 2.7, [5]). *Let (A_ℓ) be a process bounded in L^p for some $p > 2$, satisfying condition (H) such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a matrix s_m such that uniformly in ℓ, m we have*

$$|\operatorname{cov}(A_\ell, A_{\ell+m}) - s_m| \leq Ce^{-\delta\ell}.$$

Then the series $\Sigma^2 = s_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(s_m + s_m^*)$ converges in norm and we have that

$$\left|\operatorname{cov}\left(\sum_{\ell=m}^{m+n-1} A_\ell\right) - n\Sigma^2\right| \leq C.$$

Proof (of Theorem 3.1). First we show that condition (H) holds. Let $b_1 < \cdots < b_{n+m+1}$, $r_1, \dots, r_{n+m} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \in X$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $y_x = \theta^{-(b_{n+m+1}+k)}(x)$, $w_x = \theta^{-(b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+1})}(x)$, $z_x = \theta^{-(b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+1}+k)}(x)$, and somewhat ignoring the fiberwise subscript notation for the moment, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_\mu\left(e^{i\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j\left(\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}-1} g\circ f^\ell\right)+i\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} r_j\left(\sum_{\ell=b_j+k}^{b_{j+1}+k-1} g\circ f^\ell\right)}\right)\\
&= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}}\mathcal{L}_0^k\mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1}\mathcal{L}_0^{b_1}\rho_{y_x}d\nu_x dm(x)\\
&= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}}(\mathcal{L}_0^k-Q^k)\mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1}\mathcal{L}_0^{b_1}\rho_{y_x}d\nu_x dm(x)\\
&+ \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}}Q^k\mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1}\mathcal{L}_0^{b_1}\rho_{y_x}d\nu_x dm(x)\\
&= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}}(\mathcal{L}_0^k-Q^k)\mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1}\mathcal{L}_0^{b_1}\rho_{y_x}d\nu_x dm(x)\\
&\quad+\int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}}\rho_{w_x}d\nu_x dm(x)\\
&\qquad\times\int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_{z_x}} \mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1}\mathcal{L}_0^{b_1}\rho_{y_x}d\nu_{z_x}dm(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_X \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}} (\mathcal{L}_0^k - Q^k) \mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1} \mathcal{L}_0^{b_1} \rho_{y_x} d\nu_x dm(x)$$
$$+ \mathcal{E}_\mu \left(e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \left(\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}-1} g \circ f^\ell \right)} \right) \cdot \mathcal{E}_\mu \left(e^{i \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} r_j \left(\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}+k-1} g \circ f^\ell \right)} \right).$$

Now we wish to estimate the $\mathcal{G}_x$ norm of the first term in the last equality above. In light of assumptions (3) and (4) we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+m}}^{b_{n+m+1}-b_{n+m}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_{n+1}}^{b_{n+2}-b_{n+1}} (\mathcal{L}_0^k - Q^k) \mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1} \mathcal{L}_0^{b_1} \rho_{y_x} \right\|_{\mathcal{G}_x} \\
&\leq C^m \left\| (\mathcal{L}_0^k - Q^k) \mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1} \mathcal{L}_0^{b_1} \rho_{y_x} \right\|_{\mathcal{G}_{wx}} \\
&\leq C^{m+1} \kappa^k \left\| \mathcal{L}_{r_n}^{b_{n+1}-b_n} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_1}^{b_2-b_1} \mathcal{L}_0^{b_1} \rho_{y_x} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{z_x}} \\
&\leq C^{m+n+1} \kappa^k \left\| \rho_{y_x} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{y_x}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating over X provides the global inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_\mu \left(e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \left(\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}-1} g \circ f^\ell \right) + i \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} r_j \left(\sum_{\ell=b_j+k}^{b_{j+1}+k-1} g \circ f^\ell \right)} \right) \\
&\quad \leq \mathcal{E}_\mu \left(e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \left(\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}-1} g \circ f^\ell \right)} \right) \cdot \mathcal{E}_\mu \left(e^{i \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} r_j \left(\sum_{\ell=b_j}^{b_{j+1}+k-1} g \circ f^\ell \right)} \right) \\
&\qquad + C^{m+n+1} \kappa^k \int_X \left\| \rho_x \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} dm(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the difference in condition (H) is bounded by $C^{m+n+1}\kappa^k \int_X \|\rho_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} dm(x)$ for some $C > 1$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$. Setting $\kappa = e^{-c}$, $C = 2^{C'}$ then we see that

$$C^{m+n+1}\kappa^k \int_X \|\rho_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} dm(x) \leq 2^{C'(n+m+1)} e^{-ck} L \leq L \cdot 2^{C'} (1 + \max |b_{j+1} - b_j|)^{C'(n+m)} e^{-ck},$$

where L is some constant such that $\int_X \|\rho_x\|_{\mathcal{B}_x} dm(x) \leq L$. This verifies that condition (H) is satisfied.

Next we show that there are $C, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^n) - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu \right| \leq C e^{\delta n}.$$

To accomplish this, we will show that the sequence $(\mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^n))_{n \geq 0}$ is Cauchy and must have some limit. Thus in computing the limit as n goes to infinity of the sequence, the T-invariance of the measure μ reveals that the limit must in fact be $\int_X g d\mu$ as claimed.

Now towards showing that the sequence $(\mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^n))_{n \geq 0}$ is Cauchy, we first note that by working with each component individually we may, without loss of generality, work with one-dimensional random variables. Appealing to Proposition 3.8 of [5], we can construct a centered random variable V which is independent of $g \circ T^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V

belongs to $L^p(\mu)$ and such that the characteristic function of V is supported on $B(0,\varepsilon_0)$. Letting $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(g\circ T^n) = \mathcal{E}_\mu(g\circ T^n + V) = \mathcal{E}_\mu((g\circ T^n)\mathbb{1}_{|g\circ T^n+V|\geq\tau}) + \int_{|x|<\tau} x d(\mu\circ(g\circ T^n+V)^{-1})(x).$$

Looking at the first term in the last inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_\mu((g\circ T^n)\mathbb{1}_{|g\circ T^n+V|\geq\tau}) &\leq \|g\circ T^n + V\|_{L^2(\mu)} \cdot \left\|\mathbb{1}_{|g\circ T^n+V|\geq\tau}\right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &\leq C\mu(|g\circ T^n + V| > \tau)^{1/2} \leq C/\sqrt{\tau}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now let

$$\varphi_n(r) = \mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{ir(g\circ T^n)})\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV})$$

be the characteristic function of $g\circ T^n + V$, and let F_τ be the Fourier transform of the function $x\mathbb{1}_{|x|<\tau}$. Let λ denote Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. As the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$ is an isometry up to some constant factor c_1 we have

$$\int_{|x|<\tau} x d(\mu\circ(g\circ T^n+V)^{-1})(x) = c_1\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}_\tau\varphi_n d\lambda.$$

Combining this previous bound with (3.1), we can write

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(g\circ T^n) = c_1\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}_\tau\varphi_n d\lambda + C/\sqrt{\tau}.$$

Turning to $\varphi_n(r)$, by definition we have

$$\varphi_n(r) = \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}\mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}(\rho_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)})d\nu_x dm(x)\cdot\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV}),$$

and by defining the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n(r) &:= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}Q^n_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}(\rho_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)})d\nu_x dm(x)\cdot\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV}) \quad \text{and} \\ \beta_n(r) &:= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}(\mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)} - Q^n_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)})(\rho_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)})d\nu_x dm(x)\cdot\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV}),\end{aligned}$$

we can write $\varphi_n(r) = \alpha_n(r) + \beta_n(r)$.

We now claim that the function α_n does not depend on n. To see this we note that we can simplify α_n to

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n(r) &= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}Q^n_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}(\rho_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)})d\nu_x dm(x)\cdot\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV}) \\ &= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}\left(\int_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}} \rho_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}d\nu_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}\cdot\rho_{\theta^{-1}(x)}\right)d\nu_x dm(x)\cdot\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV}) \\ &= \int_X\int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}\left(\int_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}} \mathbb{1}_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}d\mu_{\theta^{-(n+1)}(x)}\cdot\rho_{\theta^{-1}(x)}\right)d\nu_x dm(x)\cdot\mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV})\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_X \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}(\rho_{\theta^{-1}(x)}) d\nu_x dm(x) \cdot \mathcal{E}_\mu(e^{irV}).$$

Therefore, we simply let $\alpha(r)$ denote $\alpha_n(r)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the function $\beta_n(r)$, which is supported on $B(0, \varepsilon_0)$, does depend upon n and is in fact bounded by $C\kappa^n$. Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^n) &= c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}_\tau \alpha d\lambda + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}_\tau \beta_n d\lambda + C/\sqrt{\tau} \\ &= c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}_\tau \alpha d\lambda + C(\|F_\tau\|_{L^2(\mu)} \cdot \|\beta_n\|_{L^2(\mu)}) + C/\sqrt{\tau}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|F_\tau\| = C \left\| x \mathbb{1}_{|x|<\tau} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} = C\tau^{3/2}$, we get the following estimate:

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^n) = c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}_\tau \alpha d\lambda + C\kappa^n \tau^{3/2} + C\tau^{-1/2}.$$

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tau = \kappa^{-\min(n,m)/3}$. Then there is some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^n) - \mathcal{E}_\mu(g \circ T^m)| \leq Ce^{-\delta \min(n,m)},$$

which finishes the claim.

For the next step in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we claim that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a matrix s_m such that

$$\left| \operatorname{cov}(g \circ T^n, g \circ T^{n+m}) - s_m \right| \leq Ce^{-\delta n}$$

uniformly in n, m. However, the proof of this claim is similar to that of the previous claim, and is therefore left to the reader.

To finish the proof we invoke Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.6.

□

4. Uniformly Expanding Random Mappings

In this section we present a proof of the ASIP for uniformly expanding random systems as they are defined by Mayer, Skorulski, and Urbański in [10]. Suppose $(X, \mathscr{B}, m, \theta)$ is a measure preserving dynamical system with an invertible and ergodic map $\theta : X \to X$. We also assume that for each $x \in X$, $(\mathcal{J}_x, \varrho_x)$ are compact metric spaces which have been normalized in size such that $\operatorname{diam}_{\varrho_x}(\mathcal{J}_x) \leq 1$. Given a $z \in \mathcal{J}_x$ and $r > 0$ we denote the ball of radius r centered at z in $(\mathcal{J}_x, \varrho_x)$ by $B_x(z, r)$. Define the space $\mathcal{J}$ by

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times \mathcal{J}_x.$$

A map $T : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$ is called an *expanding random map* if the mappings $T_x : \mathcal{J}_x \to \mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)}$ are continuous open surjections and if there exists a function $\eta : X \to \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \eta_x$, and $\xi > 0$ such that the following hold:

Uniform Openness: $T_x(B_x(z,\eta_x)) \supseteq B_{\theta(x)}(T_x(z,\xi))$ for every $(x,z) \in \mathcal{J}$.

Measurably Expanding: There exists a measurable function $\gamma : X \to (1,\infty)$, $x \mapsto \gamma_x$, such that for m-a.e. $x \in X$

$$\varrho_{\theta(x)}(T_x(z_1), T_x(z_2) \geq \gamma_x \varrho_x(z_1,z_2) \quad \text{whenever} \quad \varrho_x(z_1,z_2) < \eta_x, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{J}_x.$$

Measurability of the Degree: The map $x \mapsto \deg(T_x) := \sup_y \in \mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)} \# T_x^{-1}(\{y\})$ is measurable. Note that this map is also finite thanks to the compactness of $\mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)}$.

Topological Exactness: There exists a measurable function $x \mapsto n_\xi(x)$ such that for almost every $x \in X$ and every $z \in \mathcal{J}_x$

$$T_x^{n_\xi(x)}(B_x(z,\xi)) = \mathcal{J}_{\theta^{n_\xi(x)}(x)}.$$

The map T is called *uniformly expanding* if it satisfies the following additional properties:

(1) $\gamma_* := \inf_{x\in X} \gamma_x > 1$,

(2) $\deg(T) := \sup_{x\in X} \deg(T_x) < \infty$,

(3) $n_{\xi *} := \sup_{x\in X} n_\xi(x) < \infty$.

Now we discuss the various Banach spaces on which we will be working.

For each $x \in X$, we let $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x)$ denote the space of all continuous functions $u_x : \mathcal{J}_x \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J})$ be the set of functions $u : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for m-a.e. $x \in X$ the functions $u_x := u|_{\mathcal{J}_x} \in \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x)$. Let $\mathscr{C}^0(\mathcal{J})$ and $\mathscr{C}^1(\mathcal{J})$ be subspaces of $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J})$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{C}^0(\mathcal{J})$ the function $x \mapsto \|u_x\|_\infty$ is measurable and $w \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathcal{J})$ implies that

$$\|w\|_1 := \int_X \|w_x\|_\infty \, dm(x) < \infty.$$

Let $\mathscr{C}^\infty_*$ denote the space of all $\mathscr{B}$-measurable functions $u \in \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J})$ such that $\sup_{x\in X} \|u_x\|_\infty < \infty$. Clearly $\mathscr{C}^\infty_*$ becomes a Banach space when coupled together with the norm $|\cdot|_\infty$ given by

$$|u|_\infty = \int_X \|u_x\|_\infty \, dm(x), \quad u \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_*.$$

Fix $\alpha \in (0,1]$. The α-variation of a function $u_x \in \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x)$ is given by

$$v_\alpha(u_x) = \inf_{\varrho_x(z_1,z_2)\leq\eta} \left\{ H_x : |u_x(z_1) - u_x(z_2)| \leq H_x \varrho_x^\alpha(z_1,z_2) \right\}.$$

We say that a function $u \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathcal{J})$ is α-Hölder if there is a measurable function $H : X \to [1,\infty)$, $x \mapsto H_x$, such that $\log H \in L^1(m)$ and $v_\alpha(u_x) \leq H_x$ for m-a.e. $x \in X$. Let $\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J})$ be the collection of all such functions. Clearly

$$\|\cdot\|_\alpha = \|\cdot\|_\infty + v_\alpha(\cdot)$$

is a norm on $\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J})$. For fixed H we let $\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J},H)$ be all the functions $u\in\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J})$ such that $v_\alpha(u_x)\le H_x$ for m-a.e. $x\in X$. This allows us to write $\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J})=\cup_{H\ge 1}\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J},H)$. Now for each $\varphi\in\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J})$ let

$$S_n\varphi_x=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\varphi_x\circ T_x^j,$$

and for $\varphi\in\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J},H)$ set

$$\mathcal{Q}_x:=\mathcal{Q}_x(H)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}H_{\theta^{-j}(x)}(\gamma^j_{\theta^{-j}(x)})^{-\alpha}. \tag{4.1}$$

The following lemma tells us this function is measurable and provides a necessary, though technical, bound.

Lemma 4.1 (Lemma 2.3 of [10]). *The function $x\mapsto\mathcal{Q}_x$ is measurable and m-a.e. finite. Moreover, for every $\varphi\in\mathcal{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J},H)$,*

$$\left|S_n\varphi_x(T_y^{-n}(w_1))-S_n\varphi_x(T_y^{-n}(w_2))\right|\le\mathcal{Q}_{\theta^n(x)}\rho^\alpha_{\theta^n(x)}(w_1,w_2) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $n\ge 1$, a.e. $x\in X$, every $z\in\mathcal{J}_x$, and all $w_1,w_2\in B(T^n(y),\xi)$ where $y=(x,z)$.

Now let $\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J})$ be the set of all functions u such that $u\in\mathscr{C}^\infty_*$ and

$$\sup_{x\in X}v_\alpha(u_x)<\infty.$$

For $u\in\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*$, we set

$$V_\alpha(u)=\int_X v_\alpha(u_x)dm(x),$$

and then define the norm $|\cdot|_\alpha$ on $\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*$ by

$$|u|_\alpha=|u|_\infty+V_\alpha(u).$$

Then $\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J})$ is a Banach space when considered with the norm $|\cdot|_\alpha$. Now for $\tilde{H}\ge 0$ we denote by $\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J},\tilde{H})$ to be the space of all functions $\varphi\in\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J})$ such that $\sup_{x\in X}v_\alpha(\varphi_x)\le H_x\le\tilde{H}$. If T is uniformly expanding then we are able to take $\mathcal{Q}_x$ defined in (4.1) as some constant $\mathcal{Q}_\varphi$, depending only upon φ and $\tilde{H}$, given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varphi:=\tilde{H}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\gamma^{-\alpha j}=\frac{\tilde{H}\gamma^{-\alpha}}{1-\gamma^{-\alpha}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, for uniformly expanding systems, we can rewrite Lemma 4.1 using the following lemma of [10].

Lemma 4.2 (Lemma 3.31 of [10]). *For every $\varphi\in\mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J},\tilde{H})$,*

$$\left|S_n\varphi_x(T_y^{-n}(w_1))-S_n\varphi_x(T_y^{-n}(w_2))\right|\le\mathcal{Q}_\varphi\rho^\alpha_{\theta^n(x)}(w_1,w_2) \tag{4.4}$$

for all $n\ge 1$, a.e. $x\in X$, every $z\in\mathcal{J}_x$, and all $w_1,w_2\in B(T^n(y),\xi)$ where $y=(x,z)$.

Now we discuss the established thermodynamic formalism for distance expanding random systems. We begin by defining the transfer operator.

Definition 4.3. Fix $\varphi \in \mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J})$ and for each $x \in X$ define the operator $\mathcal{L}_x := \mathcal{L}_{\varphi,x} : \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_x(u_x)(w) = \sum_{z \in T_x^{-1}(w)} u_x(z) e^{\varphi_x(z)}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_x$ is a positive bounded linear operator with norm bounded by

$$\|\mathcal{L}_x\|_\infty \leq \deg(T_x) e^{\|\varphi\|_\infty}.$$

Iterating the transfer operator, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we see that $\mathcal{L}_x^n : \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_x^n(u_x)(w) = \sum_{z \in T_x^{-n}(w)} u_x(z) e^{S_n \varphi_x(z)}, \quad w \in \mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)}.$$

We can also define the global operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J})$ by

$$(\mathcal{L}u)_x := \mathcal{L}_{\theta^{-1}(x)} u_{\theta^{-1}(x)}.$$

Denote the dual operator by $\mathcal{L}_x^*$.

Theorem 4.4 (Theorem 3.1 (1) of [10])**.** *There exists a unique family of probability measures $\nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{J}_x)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_x^* \nu_{\theta(x)} = \lambda_x \nu_x \quad \text{for } m - a.e.\ x \in X,$$

where

$$\lambda_x := \mathcal{L}_x^*(\nu_{\theta(x)})(\mathbb{1}) = \nu_{\theta(x)}(\mathcal{L}_x \mathbb{1}).$$

Define the normalized operator $\mathcal{L}_{0,x} : \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)})$ for each $x \in X$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,x} = \lambda_x^{-1} \mathcal{L}_x.$$

where λ_x and ν_x are such that $\mathcal{L}_x^* \nu_{\theta(x)} = \lambda_x \nu_x$, where $\mathcal{L}_x^*$ is the dual operator of $\mathcal{L}_x$, and ρ_x is such that $\mathcal{L}_{0,x}(\rho_x) = \rho_{\theta(x)}$. Let $\mu_x = \rho_x \nu_x$.

Fix a function $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded and α-Hölder. This implies that the coordinate functions, $g^{(j)}$, are in $\mathscr{H}_*^\alpha$ for each $1 \leq j \leq d$. Then for each $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in X$, define the perturbed operator $\mathcal{L}_{r,x} : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{r,x} u_x = \mathcal{L}_{0,x}(e^{i r g_x} \cdot u_x),$$

and the global perturbed operator by

$$(\mathcal{L}_r u)_x = \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)} u_{\theta^{-1}(x)}.$$

In view of applying Theorem 3.1, we now aim to show that the family of operators $\{\mathcal{L}_r\}$ encode the the characteristic function of the sequence $(g, g \circ T, g \circ T^2, \dots)$ and that they are bounded in the appropriate norms.

Lemma 4.5. *For $r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1},\theta^{n-1}(x)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(u_x) = \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot u_x).$$

Proof. For $r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{r_1,\theta(x)}\mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(u_x) &= \mathcal{L}_{0,\theta(x)}(e^{ir_1 g_{\theta(x)}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{0,x}(e^{ir_0 g_x} \cdot u_x)) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{0,\theta(x)}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}\left(e^{ir_1 g_{\theta(x)} \circ T_x} \cdot e^{ir_0 g_x} \cdot u_x\right)\right) \\
&= \mathcal{L}^2_{0,x}\left(e^{i(r_0 g_x + r_1 g_{\theta(x)} \circ T_x)} \cdot u_x\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Inducting on $n \geq 1$ we suppose that for $r_{n-1}, \dots, r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1},\theta^{n-1}(x)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(u_x) = \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot u_x),$$

and let $r_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{r_n,\theta^n(x)}\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1},\theta^{n-1}(x)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(u_x) &= \mathcal{L}_{r_n,\theta^n(x)}\mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot u_x) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{0,\theta^n(x)}\left(e^{ir_n g_{\theta^n(x)}} \cdot \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot u_x)\right) \\
&= \mathcal{L}^{n+1}_{0,x}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot u_x)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now let $r_{n-1}, \dots, r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)}} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1},\theta^{n-1}(x)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(\rho_x) d\nu_{\theta^n(x)} &= \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)}} \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot \rho_x) d\nu_{\theta^n(x)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} \cdot \rho_x d\nu_x \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} d\mu_x
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to ν then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1}} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0}(\rho)\right) &= \int_X \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)}} \mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1},\theta^{n-1}(x)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(\rho_x) d\nu_{\theta^n(x)} dm(x) \\
&= \int_X \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ T^j_x} d\mu_x dm(x) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_\mu\left(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g \circ T^j}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For fixed $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we see that we can write the iterates of $\mathcal{L}_r$ as

$$\mathcal{L}^n_{r,x}(u_x) = \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{irS_n g_x} \cdot u_x).$$

Now we endeavor to show that for sufficiently small values of $|r|$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ is bounded in the α-norm and the sup norm. We start by applying the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6 (Lemma 3.8 of [10]). *Fow all $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{J}_x$ and $n \geq 1$*

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w_1)}{\mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w_2)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^n_{\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w_1)}{\mathcal{L}^n_{\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w_2)} \leq C_\varphi(x),$$

where C_φ is given by

$$C_\varphi(x) := e^{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta^{-j}(x)}} \deg(T^j_{\theta^{-j}(x)}) \max\left\{\exp(2\left\|S_k\varphi_{\theta^{-k}(x)}\right\|_\infty) : 0 \leq k \leq j\right\} \geq 1.$$

If in addition we have that $\varrho_x(w_1, w_2) \leq \xi$, then

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w_1)}{\mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w_2)} \leq \exp(\mathcal{Q}_x\varrho^\alpha_x(w_1, w_2)).$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{C_\varphi(x)} \leq \mathcal{L}^n_{0,\theta^{-n}(x)}\mathbb{1}(w) \leq C_\varphi(x)$$

for every $w \in \mathcal{J}_x$ and $n \geq 1$.

However, we note that for uniformly expanding systems, in view of (4.3), there is some $C > 1$ such that for every $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$C^{-1} \leq \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}\mathbb{1}_x \leq C. \tag{4.5}$$

In light of (4.5), then for $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in \mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J})$ we can estimate

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{r,x}(u_x)\right\|_\infty = \left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{irS_ng} \cdot u_x)\right\|_\infty \leq \left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(|u_x|)\right\|_\infty \leq \|u_x\|_\infty \cdot \left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(\mathbb{1}_x)\right\|_\infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Integrating over X gives

$$|\mathcal{L}^n_r u|_\infty \leq C\,|u|_\infty. \tag{4.7}$$

Now to show that $\left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{r,x}u_x\right\|_\alpha \leq C\,\|u_x\|_\alpha$ we must first show that this inequality holds on positive cones of Hölder functions. For $s \geq 1$ and $x \in X$ let

$$\Lambda^s_x = \{h_x \in \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{J}_x) : h_x \geq 0, \nu_x(h_x) = 1, \text{ and } h_x(w_1) \leq \exp(s\mathcal{Q}_x\varrho^\alpha_x(w_1, w_2)) \cdot h_x(w_2) \text{ for all } w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{J}_x \text{ with } \varrho_x(w_1, w_2) \leq \xi\}.$$

The first of the following two lemmas shows that $\Lambda^s_x \subseteq \mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J}_x)$, and moreover, provides a bound on the variation of such functions, while the second lemma is a sort of converse to the first.

Lemma 4.7 (Lemma 3.11 of [10]). *If $h_x \geq 0$ and for all $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{J}_x$ with $\varrho_x(w_1, w_2) \leq \xi$ we have*

$$h_x(w_1) \leq e^{s\mathcal{Q}_x\varrho^\alpha(w_1,w_2)}h_x(w_2),$$

then

$$v_\alpha(h_x) \leq s\mathcal{Q}_x(\exp(s\mathcal{Q}_x\xi^\alpha))\xi^\alpha\,\|h_x\|_\infty.$$

Lemma 4.8 (Lemma 3.13 of [10]). *If $u_x \in \mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J}_x)$ and $u_x \geq 0$, then the function defined by*

$$h_x := \frac{u_x + v_\alpha(u_x)/\mathcal{Q}_x}{\nu_x(u_x) + v_\alpha(u_x)/\mathcal{Q}_x} \in \Lambda_x^1.$$

The next lemma of [10] establishes the invariance of the cones Λ_x^s with respect to the family of normalized operators $\mathcal{L}_{0,x}$.

Lemma 4.9 (Lemma 3.14 of [10]). *Let $h_x \in \Lambda_x^s$. Then for every $n \geq 1$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{J}_x$ with $\varrho(w_1, w_2) \leq \xi$ we have*

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n h_x(w_1)}{\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n h_x(w_2)} \leq \exp(s\mathcal{Q}_{\theta^n(x)}\varrho^\alpha(w_1, w_2)). \tag{4.8}$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n(\Lambda_x^s) \subseteq \Lambda_{\theta^n(x)}^s$ for a.e. $x \in X$ and all $n \geq 1$.

In comparing u_x and h_x we note that

$$\begin{aligned} v_\alpha(h_x) &= \frac{\mathcal{Q}_x}{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x) + v_\alpha(u_x)} v_\alpha(u_x), \quad \text{and} \\ \|h_x\|_\infty &= \left|\frac{\mathcal{Q}_x}{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x) + v_\alpha(u_x)}\right| \|u_x\|_\infty + \left|\frac{v_\alpha(u_x)}{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x) + v_\alpha(u_x)}\right|.. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Now for $u_x \in \mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J}_x)$ we can write $u_x = u_x^+ - u_x^-$ where u_x^+, u_x^- are both in $\mathscr{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{J}_x)$ and nonnegative. Letting

$$h_x^+ = \frac{u_x^+ + v_\alpha(u_x^+)/\mathcal{Q}_x}{\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)/\mathcal{Q}_x} \quad \text{and} \quad h_x^- = \frac{u_x^- + v_\alpha(u_x^-)/\mathcal{Q}_x}{\nu_x(u_x^-) + v_\alpha(u_x^-)/\mathcal{Q}_x},$$

then for h_x^+ we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n(u_x^+)) &= \frac{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)}{\mathcal{Q}_x}\left(v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n h_x^+) - \frac{v_\alpha(u_x^+)}{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)} v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n \mathbb{1}_x)\right) \\ &\leq \mathcal{Q}_x(\exp(\mathcal{Q}_x\xi^\alpha))\xi^\alpha \frac{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)}{\mathcal{Q}_x}\left(\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n h_x^+\right\|_\infty + \left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n \mathbb{1}_x\right\|_\infty\right) \\ &\leq \xi^\alpha \exp(\mathcal{Q}_x\xi^\alpha)\left(\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)\right)\left(\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n h_x^+\right\|_\infty + C\right) \\ &\leq \xi^\alpha \exp(\mathcal{Q}_x\xi^\alpha)\left(\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)\right)\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_x}{\mathcal{Q}_x\nu_x(u_x^+) + v_\alpha(u_x^+)}\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^+\right\|_\infty + C\right) \\ &\leq \max(\mathcal{Q}_x, 1)\xi^\alpha\mathcal{Q}_x \exp(\mathcal{Q}_x\xi^\alpha)\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^+\right\|_\infty + C\left\|u_x^+\right\|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We get a similar bound for $v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^-)$. Now if $u_x \in \mathscr{H}_*^\alpha$ then so are u_x^+, u_x^- and furthermore $\|u_x^+\|_\infty, \|u_x^-\|_\infty \leq \|u_x\|_\infty$ and $v_\alpha(u_x^+), v_\alpha(u_x^-) \leq v_\alpha(u_x)$. If T is uniformly expanding then, using (4.3), we are able to simplify the above estimate to

$$\begin{aligned} v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n(u_x^+)) &\leq \max(\mathcal{Q}_u, 1)\xi^\alpha\mathcal{Q}_u \exp(\mathcal{Q}_u\xi^\alpha)\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^+\right\|_\infty + M\left\|u_x^+\right\|_\alpha \\ &\leq C\left(\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^+\right\|_\infty + \left\|u_x^+\right\|_\alpha\right). \end{aligned}$$

Again, we obtain a similar estimate for $v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^-)$. Combining (4.9) and (4.5), we see

$$\begin{aligned} v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n(u_x)) &\leq v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^+) + v_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n u_x^-) \\ &\leq C\left(\left\|u_x^+\right\|_\infty + \left\|u_x^+\right\|_\alpha + \left\|u_x^-\right\|_\infty + \left\|u_x^-\right\|_\alpha\right) \\ &\leq C\left\|u_x\right\|_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Now we are ready to calculate $\left\|\mathcal{L}_{r,x}^n u_x\right\|_\alpha$. Combining the bounds in (4.6) and (4.10) we see

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_{r,x}^n u_x\right\|_\alpha = \left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n(e^{irS_n g_x}\cdot u_x)\right\|_\alpha \leq C\left\|u_x e^{irS_n g_x}\right\|_\alpha.$$

As $\mathscr{H}_*^\alpha$ is a Banach algebra we can write the last inequality as

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_{r,x}^n u_x\right\|_\alpha \leq C\left\|u_x\right\|_\alpha \left\|e^{irS_n g_x}\right\|_\alpha.$$

It suffices to estimate $\left\|e^{irS_n g_x}\right\|_\alpha$, but as $\left\|e^{irS_n g_x}\right\|_\infty = 1$, we need only estimate $v_\alpha(e^{irS_n g_x})$. Since $|e^{iz_1} - e^{iz_2}| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$, for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $v_\alpha(e^{irS_n g_x}) \leq v_\alpha(rS_n g_x)$. Thus it now suffices to estimate $v_\alpha(rS_n g_x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |rS_n g_x(w_1) - rS_n g_x(w_2)| &= \left|\sum_{j=1}^d r^{(j)} S_n^{(j)} g_x(w_1) - \sum_{j=1}^d r^{(j)} S_n^{(j)} g_x(w_2)\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^d \left|r^{(j)} S_n^{(j)} g_x(w_1) - r^{(j)} S_n^{(j)} g_x(w_2)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

This calculation, along with Lemma 4.2, shows that

$$v_\alpha(rS_n g_x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^d v_\alpha(r^{(j)} S_n^{(j)} g_x) \leq d\,|r|\,\mathcal{Q}.$$

In particular, we have the estimate

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_{r,x}^n u_x\right\|_\alpha \leq C\left\|u_x\right\|_\alpha (1 + d\,|r|\,\mathcal{Q}) \leq C\left\|u_x\right\|_\alpha.$$

Integrating over X and considering only $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r| \leq 1$, gives the desired inequality

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_r^n u\right|_\alpha \leq C\left|u\right|_\alpha, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we define the local operator $Q_x : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_\theta(x))$ by

$$Q_x(u_x) = \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta(x)}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write the iterates $Q_x^n : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_\theta^n(x))$ of Q_x as

$$Q_x^n(u_x) = \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta^n(x)}.$$

Thus we see that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$

$$\left\|\left((\mathcal{L}_0^k - Q^k)(u)\right)_x\right\|_\infty = \left\|\left(\mathcal{L}_{0,\theta^{-k}(x)}^k - Q_{\theta^{-k}(x)}^k\right)(u_{\theta^{-k}(x)})\right\|_\infty$$

$$= \left\| \mathcal{L}^k_{0,\theta^{-k}(x)}(u_{\theta^{-k}(x)}) - \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta^{-k}(x)}} u_{\theta^{-k}(x)} d\mu_{\theta^{-k}(x)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_x \right\|_\infty .$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.18 of [10], in the case of uniformly expanding random maps, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left((\mathcal{L}^k_0 - Q^k)(u) \right)_x \right\|_\infty &\leq \left(\nu_x(u_x) + 2\frac{v_\alpha(u_x)}{Q_x} \right) C\kappa^k \\ &\leq \left(\|u_x\|_\infty + 2v_\alpha(u_x) \right) C\kappa^k \\ &\leq 2 \|u_x\|_\alpha C\kappa^k \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $\kappa < 1$. By our assumption that the function $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and Hölder in each of the coordinate functions $g^{(j)}$, we have that the process $g \circ T$ is in L^p for any $p > 2$. Applying Theorem 3.1 with Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}_x = \mathscr{H}^\alpha_*(\mathcal{J}_x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_x = \mathscr{C}^\infty_*(\mathcal{J}_x)$, we see that the almost sure invariance principle holds for uniformly expanding random maps. In particular we have the following theorem,

Theorem 4.10. *Let $T : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$ be a uniformly expanding random map and $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded and Hölder. Then there exists a $d \times d$ matrix Σ^2 such that the process $\left\{ g \circ T^n - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent larger that $1/4$. Moreover, the sequence*

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ T^n - n \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges in probability to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$.

5. Hyperbolic Transcendental Random Systems

We now show that the ASIP holds for hyperbolic transcendental random systems. The random setting is explored in [8] by Mayer and Urbański and in [11] by Mayer, Urbański and Zdunik. The deterministic setting was thoroughly treated in Mayer and Urbański's monograph [7].

Suppose $(X, \mathcal{F}, m, \theta)$ is a measure preserving dynamical system on a complete probability space. Further suppose $\theta : X \to X$ is an invertible measure preserving ergodic transformation. For each $x \in X$ we associate an entire or meromorphic function $f_x : \mathbb{C} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ which has finite order, $\rho(f_x)$. The map $x \mapsto f_x(z)$ is assumed to be measurable for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with respect to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ on X and the Borel σ-algebra on $\mathbb{C}$.

We use the notation f^n_x to denote the iterates of f_x where

$$f^n_x := f_{\theta^{n-1}(x)} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\theta(x)} \circ f_x.$$

The random Fatou set, $\mathcal{F}_x$, is defined to be the set of all points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there is some neighborhood U of z on which the iterates f^n_x form a normal family. The random Julia set is, as usual, given by $\mathcal{J}_x := \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{F}_x$.

We say that $(f_x : \mathbb{C} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}})_{x\in X}$ is a *transcendental random system* if it satisfies the following four conditions:

(1) (Common growth of the characteristic function): There are two constants $\rho, C_\rho > 0$ and an increasing function $\omega : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{r\to\infty} \log r/\omega(r) = 0$ such that for all $r > 0$ and all $x \in X$

$$\omega(r) \leq \mathring{T}(f_x, r) \leq C_\rho r^\rho,$$

where $\mathring{T}(f_x, r)$ denotes the spherical characteristic function of f_x from Nevanlinna theory.

(2) There exists $T > 0$ such that for each $x \in X$

$$(\mathcal{J}_x \cap \mathbb{D}_T) \cap f_x^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)} \cap \mathbb{D}_T) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $\mathbb{D}_T = \mathbb{D}(0, T)$ denotes the open disk centered at the origin of radius T.

(3) (Balanced Growth Condition): There are $\alpha_2 > \max\{0, -\alpha_1\}$ and $\kappa \geq 1$ such that for every $x \in X$ and every $z \in \mathcal{J}_x \backslash f_x^{-1}(\infty)$,

$$\kappa^{-1}(1 + |z|)^{\alpha_1}(1 + |f_x(z)|)^{\alpha_2} \leq |f_x'(z)| \leq \kappa(1 + |z|)^{\alpha_1}(1 + |f_x(z)|)^{\alpha_2}.$$

(4) For every $R > 0$ and $N \geq 1$ there is $C_{R,N}$ such that for every $x \in X$ and all $z \in \mathbb{D}_R \cap f_x^{-N}(\mathbb{D}_R)$

$$\left|(f_x^N)'(z)\right| \leq C_{R,N}.$$

Remark 5.1. We note that these conditions naturally extend the deterministic case of meromorphic functions of finite order explored by Mayer and Urbański in [7].

Definition 5.2. We say that a transcendental random system $(f_x)_{x\in X}$ is *topologically hyperbolic* if there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1/4$ such that for every $x \in X$, $n \geq 1$, and $w \in \mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)}$ all holomorphic inverse branches of f_x^n are well defined on $\mathbb{D}(w, 2\delta_0)$.

The system is called *expanding* if there exists $c > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that for every $x \in X$ and every $z \in \mathcal{J}_x \backslash f_x^{-n}(\infty)$

$$|(f_x^n)'(z)| \geq z\gamma^n.$$

The system is called *hyperbolic* if it is both topologically hyperbolic and expanding.

For each $x \in X$ we let $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x, \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x)$ denote the space of continuous and bounded real-valued functions on $\mathcal{J}_x$. Likewise we let $\mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x, \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x)$ be the set of of all real-valued bounded β-Hölder continuous functions on $\mathcal{J}_x$. For $u_x \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x)$, the β-variation of u_x is defined to be

$$v_\beta(u_x) := \sup_{0<|w_1-w_2|<\delta_0} \frac{|u_x(w_1) - u_x(w_2)|}{|w_1 - w_2|^\beta}.$$

The β-norm of u_x is then given by

$$\|u_x\|_\beta := \|u_x\|_\infty + v_\beta(u_x).$$

We now define the global Banach space $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J})$ to be the set of all functions $u : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the mapping $x \mapsto \|u_x\|_\infty$ is measurable and in fact m-integrable over X. Such functions are called *random continuous*. The associated norm is given by

$$|u|_\infty := \int_X \|u_x\|_\infty \, dm(x), \qquad u \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}).$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J})$ of random β-Hölder observables are defined to be all functions $u \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J})$ such that $u_x \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x)$ and the map $x \mapsto \|u_x\|_\beta$ is m-integrable. The β-norm of a function $u \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J})$ is taken to be

$$|u|_\beta := \int_X \|u_x\|_\beta \, dm(x).$$

We also define the global space $\mathcal{H}_\beta^\infty(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{H}_\beta^\infty(\mathcal{J})$ to be the collection of all functions $u \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J})$ such that

$$V_\beta(u_x) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x \in X} v_\beta(u_x) < \infty.$$

Notice that for each of the spaces of functions defined above, we could make the analogous definition for functions which take values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ rather than $\mathbb{R}$.

For each $x \in X$ we define the transfer operator on the space of continuous bounded real valued functions on $\mathcal{J}_x$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_x u(w) = \sum_{f_x(z)=w} e^{\varphi_x(z)} u_x(z), \quad w \in \mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)}.$$

By letting the potential φ_x be such that $e^{\varphi_x} = |f_x'|_\tau^{-t}$, where $|f_x'|_\tau$ denotes the derivative of f_x with respect to the carefully chosen Riemannian metric

$$d\sigma_\tau(z) = \frac{|dz|}{(1+|z|)^\tau}, \quad \tau > 0$$

we have that $\mathcal{L}_x$ is well defined.

Remark 5.3. As we will not be concerned with the exact value of τ, we will not include the necessary details on finding the value τ. The details can be found in section two of [8].

Define the global operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J})$ by

$$(\mathcal{L}u)_x := \mathcal{L}_{\theta^{-1}(x)} u_{\theta^{-1}(x)}.$$

The following two theorems of [8] will be crucial for what follows. The first theorem gives the existence of a random conformal measure ν, and the second theorem establishes the existence of a fixed point of the normalized transfer operator and the spectral gap property.

Theorem 5.4 (Theorem 3.1 of [8]). *Let $(f_x)_{x\in X}$ be a hyperbolic transcendental random dynamical system satisfying conditions 1-4 above. Fix $t > \rho/\alpha$. Then there exists a random Gibbs measure ν with disintegrations $\nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{J}_x)$ for each $x \in X$, and a measurable function $\lambda : X \to (0,\infty)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_x^* \nu_{\theta(x)} = \lambda_x \nu_x$$

for m-a.e. $x \in X$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $C^{-1} \leq \lambda_x \leq C$ for m-a.e. $x \in X$.

Define the normalized operator to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,x} := \lambda_x^{-1} \mathcal{L}_x.$$

Theorem 5.5 (Theorem 5.1 of [8]). *If $(f_x)_{x\in X}$ be a hyperbolic random system, then we have the following.*

(1) There exists a unique invariant density $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J})$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{0,x}\rho = \rho$.

(2) There are $B > 0$ and $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_{0,x} u_x - \int u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta^n(x)} \right\|_\beta \leq B\vartheta^n \left\| u_x \right\|_\beta$$

for every $u_x \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x)$ and a.e. $x \in X$.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.5 (1) is that we can define a unique invariant Gibbs state μ such that $\mu_x = \rho_x \nu_x$ for each $x \in X$.

Now we fix a function $g \in \mathcal{H}_\beta^\infty(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, this implies that the coordinate functions, $g^{(j)} \in \mathcal{H}_\beta^\infty(\mathcal{J})$ for each $1 \leq j \leq d$. Then for each $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in X$, define the perturbed operator $\mathcal{L}_{r,x} : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_{\theta(x)})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{r,x} u_x = \mathcal{L}_{0,x}(e^{irg_x} \cdot u_x),$$

and the global perturbed operator by

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_r u\right)_x = \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)} u_{\theta^{-1}(x)}.$$

Following the same calculations presented in Lemma 4.5, we arrive at the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *For $r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1},\theta^{n-1}(x)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0,x}(u_x) = \mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n (e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g_{\theta^j(x)} \circ f_x^j} \cdot u_x).$$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 5.6, in the language of Gouëzel, is that the family of operators $\{\mathcal{L}_r\}$ encode the characteristic function of the sequence $(g, g\circ f, g\circ f^2, \dots)$. In other words

$$\nu\left(\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1}} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0}(\rho)\right) = \mathcal{E}_\mu\left(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g\circ f^j}\right).$$

Another consequence of Lemma 5.6 is that for fixed $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write

$$\mathcal{L}^n_{r,x}(u_x) = \mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}(e^{irS_n g_x} \cdot u_x).$$

By Corollary 4.3 of [8], we have that there is some $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{0,x}\right\|_\beta \leq C.$$

To show that $\left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{r,x}\right\|_\beta$ is bounded it suffices to show that $\left\|e^{irS_n g_x}\right\|_\beta$ is uniformly bounded. Following in the same vein as the analogous estimation for the case of uniformly expanding random maps and using the straightforward calculation that

$$v_\beta(S_n g_x) \leq C V_\beta(g),$$

for some $C > 0$ depending upon β, we see that for $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r| \leq 1$,

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{r,x} u_x\right\|_\beta \leq C \left\|u_x\right\|_\beta.$$

Now we define the local operator $Q_x : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_\theta(x))$ by

$$Q_x(u_x) = \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta(x)}.$$

By induction we see that the operator $Q^n_x : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_{\theta^n(x)})$ can be written as

$$Q^n_x(u_x) = \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta^n(x)}.$$

The second part of Theorem 5.5 gives the spectral gap property for random systems. In particular, we can reformulate Theorem 5.5, so that we have that there is some $B > 0$ and $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ such that for every $u_x \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x)$ and m-a.e. $x \in X$

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}^n_{0,x} u_x - Q_x u_x\right\|_\beta \leq B\vartheta^n \left\|g_x\right\|_\beta.$$

We are now able to apply Theorem 3.1 with Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}_x = \mathcal{G}_x = \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J}_x)$, to get an ASIP for hyperbolic transcendental random systems. In particular, we have the following.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\{f_x\}_{x\in X}$ be hyperbolic transcendental random system and $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Hölder function. Then there exists a $d \times d$ matrix Σ^2 such that the process $\left\{g \circ f^n - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent larger that $1/4$. Moreover, the sequence*

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ T^n - n\int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges in probability to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.7, we get an ASIP for the deterministic hyperbolic transcendental systems studied in [7], by taking the base space X to be a singleton. In that case we would say $f_x = f$, and we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.8. *Let f be a hyperbolic transcendental function and $g : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Hölder function. Then there exists a $d \times d$ matrix Σ^2 such that the process $\left\{g \circ f^n - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent larger that $1/4$. Moreover, the sequence*

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ T^n - n\int_{\mathcal{J}} g d\mu}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges in probability to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$.

Remark 5.9. Alternatively, the ASIP for the deterministic case of hyperbolic transcendental functions can be proven as a straightforward application of Gouëzel's Theorem 3.4. The main calculations involved follow from Lemma 4.11, Theorem 5.15, and Theorem 6.5 in Mayer and Urbański's monograph [7].

6. Random Shifts of Finite Type with Weakly Positive Transfer Operators

Now we follow the work of Mayer and Urbański in [9] to show that the ASIP holds for random shifts of finite type with weakly positive transfer operators.

Let $(X, \mathfrak{F}, m)$ a complete probability space and $\theta : X \to X$ an invertible measure preserving transformation on X. Let E be a countable alphabet. Without loss of generality we assume that $E = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We suppose that for each $x \in X$ there is a measurable map

$$A(x) : E \times E \to \{0, 1\}$$

which takes values in the the $0, 1$-matrices on E. These matrices, which we call *incidence matrices*, define symbol spaces on X. For each $x \in X$ we let

$$E_x^\infty = E_x^\infty(A) := \left\{(\omega_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in E^{\mathbb{N}} : A_{\omega_i\omega_{i+1}} = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 \le i \le n-1\right\}.$$

The space $X \times E^{\mathbb{N}}$ is endowed with the natural product topology and product σ-algebra. Consider the subspace $\Omega \subseteq X \times E^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\Omega := \bigcup_{x\in X} \{x\} \times E_x^\infty,$$

and let σ denote the standard shift map on $E^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\sigma((\omega_n)_{n=0}^\infty) = (\omega_{n+1})_{n=0}^\infty.$$

Then the spaces E_x^∞ are invariant under σ in the sense that for any $x \in X$

$$\sigma(E_x^\infty) \subseteq E_{\theta(X)}^\infty.$$

For each $x \in X$ we set

$$\sigma_x := \sigma|_{E_x^\infty} : E_x^\infty \to E_{\theta(x)}^\infty.$$

Globally, we define the random shift map $\hat{\sigma}_A := \hat{\sigma} : \Omega \to \Omega$, given by

$$(x, \omega) \mapsto (\theta(x), \sigma_x(\omega)),$$

to be the skew product map with base X and fibers E_x^∞.

We say that an incidence matrix A, and the random shift $\hat{\sigma}$ are of *finite range* if for every $e \in E$ there is a finite set $D_e \subseteq E$ such that for m- a.e. $x \in X$,

$$\{j \in E : A_{ej}(x) = 1\} \subseteq D_e.$$

We say that an incidence matrix A and random shift $\hat{\sigma}$ are of *bounded access* if for every $b \in E$ there is some $b^* \in E$ such that for m- a.e $x \in X$ there exists $a = a_x \leq b^*$ such that $A_{ab}(x) = 1$.

We now discuss the many classes of functions we will consider. Let $\mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty)$ denote the space of bounded continuous functions on E_x^∞, which together with the supremum norm, $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, is a Banach space. Let $\mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty)$ denote the space of bounded β-Hölder functions which have finite β-variation, i.e

$$v_\beta(u) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|u(\tau) - u(\omega)|}{d(\tau, \omega)^\beta} : \tau \neq \omega, \tau_0 = \omega_0 \right\} < \infty.$$

Then the space $\mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty)$ becomes a Banach space when packaged together with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_\beta = \|\cdot\|_\infty + v_\beta(\cdot).$$

For globally defined functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, we consider those which are measurable with respect to the σ-algebra $\mathfrak{F} \otimes |_\Omega \mathcal{B}$ on Ω. A measurable function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *essentially continuous* if for each $x \in X$, $u_x \in \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty)$, and if the essential supremum of the measurable function $x \mapsto \|u_x\|_\infty$ is finite. We denote the space of such functions by $\mathcal{C}_b(\Omega)$, which when taken together with the norm

$$|u|_\infty := \operatorname{ess\,sup} \{\|u_x\|_\infty : x \in X\},$$

is a Banach space. We also consider the *essentially β-Hölder* functions, which are denoted by $\mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)$. These are functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $x \in X$, $u_x \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty)$ with finite β-variation given by

$$V_\beta(u) := \operatorname{ess\,sup} \{v_\beta(u_x) : x \in X\} < \infty.$$

Then the space $\mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)$ becomes a Banach space when taken together with the norm $|\cdot|_\beta$, which is defined by

$$|u|_\beta := \operatorname{ess\,sup} \left\{ \|u_x\|_\beta : x \in X \right\} = |u|_\infty + V_\beta(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega).$$

Lemma 6.1 (Lemma 3.1 of [9]). *Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for m-a.e. $x \in X$, every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $\omega, \tau \in E_x^\infty$ with $\omega|_{n-1} = \tau|_{n-1}$.*

$$|S_n u(x, \omega) - S_n u(x, \tau)| \leq C V_\beta(u) d(\omega, \tau)^\beta$$

and

$$|\exp\left(S_n u(x,\omega) - S_n u(x,\tau)\right) - 1| \leq C V_\beta(u) d(\omega,\tau)^\beta.$$

Now for $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and for each $x \in X$ we define the family of fiberwise transfer operators $\mathcal{L}_{\varphi,x} : \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty) \to \mathcal{C}_b(E_{\theta(x)}^\infty)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varphi,x} := \mathcal{L}_x u_x(w) := \sum_{\substack{e\in E \\ A_{e\omega_0}(x)=1}} u_x(e\omega) e^{\varphi_x(e\omega)}, \quad \omega \in E_x^\infty.$$

We say that an essentially β-Hölder function $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *summable potential* if for every $e \in E$ there are constants $0 < c_e < C_e < \infty$ such that

$$c_e \leq \exp\left(\varphi_x|_{[e]_x}\right) \leq C_e,$$

and if the operators $\mathcal{L}_x$ are uniformly summable in the sense that

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \operatorname{ess\,sup}\left\{\left|\mathcal{L}_x(\mathbb{1}_{[0,\dots,l]_x^c})\right|_\infty : x \in X\right\} = 0.$$

The following two lemmas of [9] show that the linear operators $\mathcal{L}_{\varphi,x}$, as well as their global operator counterparts, are well behaved whenever φ is a summable potential.

Lemma 6.2 (Lemma 4.6 of [9])**.** *If $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a summable potential, then for each $x \in X$, the linear operator*

$$\mathcal{L}_x : \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty) \to \mathcal{C}_b(E_{\theta(x)}^\infty)$$

is bounded and its norm is bounded above by some $C > 0$. Also $\mathcal{L}_x(\mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\beta(E_{\theta(x)}^\infty))$ and the linear operator $\mathcal{L}_x : \mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty) \to \mathcal{H}_\beta(E_{\theta(x)}^\infty)$ is bounded.

We define the global operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{C}_b(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\Omega)$ by

$$(\mathcal{L}u)_x := \mathcal{L}_{\theta^{-1}(x)} u_{\theta^{-1}(x)} \in \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty), \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Omega).$$

Lemma 6.3 (Lemma 4.8 of [9])**.** *If $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a summable potential, then the linear operator*

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{C}_b(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\Omega)$$

is bounded and its norm is bounded above by some $C > 0$. Also $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)$ and the linear operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega) \to \mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)$ is bounded.

Definition 6.4. A random shift $\hat{\sigma}$ and a potential φ are called *fine* if φ is summable and the following hold

(A) For every $e \in E$ there exists $M_e \in (0,\infty)$ such that

$$M_e^{-1} \leq \mathcal{L}_x \mathbb{1}|_{[e]_{\theta(x)}} \quad \text{for } m-\text{a.e. } x \in X.$$

(B) $\lim\limits_{e\to\infty} \operatorname{ess\,sup}\limits_{x\in X} \left(\mathcal{L}_x \mathbb{1}|_{[e]_{\theta(x)}}\right) = 0.$

(C) There is a number $0 < \kappa < 1/4$ and a finite set $F \subseteq E$ such that

$$\sup\left(\mathcal{L}_x(\mathbb{1}_{E_x^\infty \setminus [F]_x})\right) \leq \kappa \inf\left(\mathcal{L}_x \mathbb{1}|_{[F]_{\theta(x)}}\right) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in X.$$

Equivalently, thanks to Lemma 4.3 of [9], φ is fine if φ is summable, the shift $\hat{\sigma}$ is of finite range and bounded access, and if (C) holds.

From this point on we assume that a random shift $\hat{\sigma}$ and potential φ are each fine. We now recall a few properties of random measures within our current framework. For a more thorough discussion of random measures see [3].

Recall that a measure ν on $\mathfrak{F}\otimes|_\Omega\mathcal{B}$ with marginal m, that is $\nu \circ \pi_X^{-1} = m$, is called a *random measure* if its disintegrations ν_x belong to the space, $\mathcal{P}(E_x^\infty)$, of probability measures on $(E_x^\infty, \mathcal{B}_x)$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_m(\Omega)$ the space of all random probability measures on Ω with marginal m.

Thus we see that for $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Omega)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_m(\Omega)$ we have

$$\nu(g) := \int_\Omega g d\nu = \int_X \int_{E_x^\infty} g_x d\nu_x dm(x) \leq |g|_\infty .$$

Theorem 6.5 (Theorem 5.7 of [9])**.** *Let the random shift $\hat{\sigma} : \Omega \to \Omega$ and the potential $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be fine. Then there exists a measure $\nu = (\nu_x)_{x\in X} \in \mathcal{P}_m(\Omega)$ for which there exists a measurable function $X \ni x \to \lambda_x \in (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_x^* \nu_{\theta(x)} = \lambda_x \nu_x \quad \textit{for } m - \textit{a.e. } x \in X.$$

In addition, we have that for each $x \in X$ λ_x is given by

$$\lambda_x = \int_{E_{\theta(x)}^\infty} \mathcal{L}_x \mathbb{1}_x d\nu_{\theta(x)},$$

and that

$$\|\log \lambda\|_\infty < \infty.$$

We call the measure ν in the previous theorem a *random conformal measure.*

Now we define the normalized operator $\mathcal{L}_{0,x} : \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty) \to \mathcal{C}_b(E_{\theta(x)}^\infty)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,x} u_x = \lambda_x^{-1} \mathcal{L}_x u_x.$$

The following theorem from [9] establishes the existence of a unique fixed point for the global normalized operator as well as the spectral gap property.

Theorem 6.6 (Theorem 5.8 of [9])**.** *Let the random shift $\hat{\sigma} : \Omega \to \Omega$ and the potential $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be fine. Then*

(1) There exists a unique positive function $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\Omega)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,x} \rho_x = \rho_{\theta(x)} \quad \textit{for } m - \textit{a.e.} x \in X,$$

which means globally we have $\mathcal{L}_0 \rho = \rho$.

(2) There exists constants $B > 0$ and $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ such that for m-a.e. $x \in X$

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}u_x - \nu_x(u_x)\rho_{\theta(x)}\right\|_\beta < B\vartheta^n \left\|u_x\right\|_\beta \tag{6.1}$$

for every $u_x \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty)$.

If we let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_m(\Omega)$ such that the fiber measures are defined by $\mu_x = \rho_x\nu_x$ for each $x \in X$, then μ is the unique $\hat{\sigma}$-invariant probability measure on Ω absolutely continuous with respect to ν.

Next we fix a function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded and essentially β-Hölder. In particular, this implies that the coordinate functions, $g^{(j)} \in \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathcal{J})$ for each $1 \leq j \leq d$. Then for each $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in X$, define the perturbed operator $\mathcal{L}_{r,x} : \mathcal{C}_b(E_x^\infty) \to \mathcal{C}_b(E_{\theta(x)}^\infty)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{r,x}u_x = \mathcal{L}_{0,x}(e^{irg_x} \cdot u_x),$$

and the global perturbed operator by

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_r u\right)_x = \mathcal{L}_{r,\theta^{-1}(x)}u_{\theta^{-1}(x)}.$$

Lemma 6.7 (Proposition 8.3 of [9])**.** *There is some $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^n\right\|_\beta \leq C.$$

In particular, as $\mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty)$ is a Banach algebra, for $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we see

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_{r,x}^n u_x\right\|_\beta \leq C\left\|e^{irS_n g_x}\right\|_\beta \left\|u_x\right\|_\beta. \tag{6.2}$$

In view of Lemma 6.1, we see that

$$v_\beta(e^{irS_n g_x}) \leq v_\beta(rS_n g_x) \leq C\left|r\right| dV_\beta(g).$$

Taking $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|r| \leq 1$, and combining this estimate with (6.2) before taking the essential supremum over X provides the desired bound

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_r^n u\right|_\beta \leq C(1 + C\left|r\right| dV_\beta(g))\left|u\right|_\beta \leq C\left|u\right|_\beta.$$

Following in the same vein as in the setting of uniformly expanding random systems, we can show that

$$\nu\left(\mathcal{L}_{r_{n-1}} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{r_0}(\rho)\right) = \mathcal{E}_\mu\left(e^{i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_j g\circ\hat{\sigma}^j}\right).$$

Now we define the local operator $Q_x : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_x) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}_{\theta}(x))$ by

$$Q_x(u_x) = \int_{\mathcal{J}_x} u_x d\nu_x \cdot \rho_{\theta(x)}.$$

Now in light of the inequality (6.1) obtained from [9], we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{L}_0^k - Q^k(u)\right|_\beta &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in X}\left\|\mathcal{L}_{0,x}^k u_x - Q_x^k u_x\right\|_\beta \\ &\leq \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in X} B\vartheta^k \left\|u_x\right\|_\beta\end{aligned}$$

$$= B\vartheta^k \left|u\right|_\beta .$$

We now apply Theorem 3.1, with Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}_x = \mathcal{G}_x = \mathcal{H}_\beta(E_x^\infty)$, to get an ASIP for random shifts of finite type.

Theorem 6.8. *Let the random shift $\hat{\sigma} : \Omega \to \Omega$ and the potential $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be fine, and let $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Hölder function. Then there exists a $d \times d$ matrix Σ^2 such that the process $\left\{g \circ \hat{\sigma}^n - \int_\Omega g d\mu\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an ASIP with limiting covariance Σ^2 for any error exponent larger that $1/4$. Moreover, the sequence*

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ \hat{\sigma}^n - n\int_\Omega g d\mu}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges in probability to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Mariusz Urbański for the many thoughtful discussions which inspired this work.

References

1. Romain Aimino, Matthew Nicol, and Sandro Vaienti, *Annealed and quenched limit theorems for random expanding dynamical systems*, Probability Theory and Related Fields **162** (2015), no. 1-2, 233–274 (en).
2. Ludwig Arnold, *Random Dynamical Systems*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998.
3. Hans Crauel, *Random probability measures on Polish spaces*, Stochastics Monographs, vol. 11, Taylor & Francis, London, 2002. MR 1993844
4. D. Dragi˘ cević, G. Froyland, C. González-Tokman, and S. Vaienti, *Almost sure invariance principle for random piecewise expanding maps*, arXiv:1611.04003 [math] (2016), arXiv: 1611.04003.
5. Sébastien Gouëzel, *Almost sure invariance principle for dynamical systems by spectral methods*, The Annals of Probability **38** (2010), no. 4, 1639–1671 (en).
6. Yuri Kifer, *Thermodynamic formalism for random transformations revisited*, Stochastics and Dynamics **08** (2008), no. 01, 77–102.
7. Volker Mayer and Mariusz Urbański, *Thermodynamical formalism and multifractal analysis for meromorphic functions of finite order*, **203** (2010).
8. ———, *Random Dynamics of Transcendental Functions*, arXiv:1409.7179 [math] (2014), arXiv: 1409.7179.
9. ———, *Countable alphabet random subhifts of finite type with weakly positive transfer operator*, Journal of Statistical Physics **160** (2015), no. 5, 1405–1431. MR 3375595
10. Volker Mayer, Mariusz Urbański, and Bartlomiej Skorulski, *Distance Expanding Random Mappings, Thermodynamical Formalism, Gibbs Measures and Fractal Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2036, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
11. Volker Mayer, Mariusz Urbański, and Anna Zdunik, *Real Analyticity for random dynamics of transcendental functions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.05415 (2016).
12. Ian Melbourne and Matthew Nicol, *A vector-valued almost sure invariance principle for hyperbolic dynamical systems*, The Annals of Probability **37** (2009), no. 2, 478–505 (en).

13. Walter Philipp and William Stout, *Almost sure invariance principles for partial sums of weakly dependent random variables*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society (1975), no. issue 2, 161, iv+140. MR 0433597
14. V. Strassen, *An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm*, Zeitschrift fr Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete **3** (1964), no. 3, 211–226 (en).
15. Volker Strassen, *Almost sure behavior of sums of independent random variables and martingales*, Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 2: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 1 (Berkeley, Calif.), University of California Press, 1967, pp. 315–343.

Department of Mathematics, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203-1430, USA

E-mail address: jason.atnip@unt.edu *Web:* www.atnipmath.com